UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,995 09/22/2003		Joseph Birli	24063/04051	9320
Paul E. Szabo	7590 08/17/200	77	EXAM	INER
CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP Suite 1400 800 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114			DABNEY, PHYLESHA LARVINIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
عاري عاماه		10/667,995	BIRLI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
•		Phylesha L. Dabney	2614			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
Period fo	• •	(IO OET TO EVDIDE AM	ONTHIOLOR THIRTY (20) DAVE			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' cause the application to become AB	CATION. pply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	•					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>6-8 and 23-71</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>23-41,46,47,49,51,54,58,60,63-67,69 and 71</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are allowed.					
, —	Claim(s) <u>42-45, 48, 50, 52, 53, 59, 62 and 68</u> is/are rejected.					
, —	Claim(s) <u>55-57 and 70</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers					
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
•—	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	4				
•		priority under 35 H.S.C. 8	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
A44.c - 4	463					
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			
· —	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 23-41,46,47,49,51,54,58,60-61,63-67,69 and 71.

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

This action is in response to the Amendment received on 25 July 2007 in which claims 6-8, 42-69, and 71 are pending. Claims 1-5, 9-41, and 70 were cancelled.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 6-8 on 30 May 2006, and claims 42-45, 48, 50, 52-53, 55-57, 59, 62, 68, 70 on 25 July 2007 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 42-45, 59, and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed (U.S. Patent No. 5,142,700), in view of MacLeod (U.S. Patent No. 4,123,622).

Regarding claims 42-45, Reed teaches a mask (10, 30) comprising a pass-through (44) and a microphone assembly (58), the pass through designed to pass a signal from an interior to an exterior of the mask through at least one electrical connection, the pass-through including a plurality of electrical connections, the microphone assembly at least partially mounted on an interior of the mask, the microphone assembly including a first microphone arrangement (58)

Art Unit: 2614

and a second microphone arrangement (58), each of the microphone arrangements including first and second electrical connectors designed to be electrically connected to at least one electrical connection of the pass-through.

Although Reed teaches that the microphone is contained within face guard, Reed fails to teach at least one of said first microphone arrangement and said second microphone arrangement are detachably connected to said pass-through.

In a similar field of endeavor, MacLeod teaches a means (12) for connecting a microphone to the pass-through (fig. 2, col. 2 lines 48 through col. 3 line 2) of a face mask utilizing a detachable threaded coupling, which would allow for removal and replacement of a damaged microphone, for instance. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was to couple the microphone of Reed in the manner as taught by MacLeod for beneficially allowing removal and replacement of a damaged microphone.

Regarding claim 59, the combination of Reed and MacLeod teaches the mask as defined in claim 42, wherein the first microphone arrangement (58) is electrically connected to a device selected from the group consisting of an intercom, a telephone, a radio unit, or a voice projection unit; the second microphone arrangement (58) electrically connected to a device different from the device connected to the first microphone arrangement (col. 1 line 59 through col. 2 line 10).

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claim 68, the combination of Reed and MacLeod teaches the mask as defined in claim 42, wherein the pass-through (44) is located adjacent an air supply portal (28) in the mask.

3. Claims 42-45, 48, 50, and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed (U.S. Patent No. 5,142,700), in view of MacLeod (U.S. Patent No. 4,123,622).

Regarding claims 42-45, Reed teaches a mask (30) comprising a pass-through (10, 44) and a microphone assembly (58), the pass through designed to pass a signal from an interior to an exterior of the mask through at least one electrical connection, the pass-through including a plurality of electrical connections, the microphone assembly at least partially mounted on an interior of the mask, the microphone assembly including a first microphone arrangement (58) and a second microphone arrangement (58), each of the microphone arrangements including first and second electrical connectors designed to be electrically connected to at least one electrical connection of the pass-through.

Although Reed teaches that the microphone is contained within face guard, Reed fails to teach at least one of said first microphone arrangement and said second microphone arrangement are detachably connected to said pass-through.

In a similar field of endeavor, MacLeod teaches a means (12) for connecting a microphone to the pass-through (fig. 2, col. 2 lines 48 through col. 3 line 2) of a face mask utilizing a detachable threaded coupling, which would allow for removal and replacement of a damaged microphone, for instance. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was to couple the microphone of Reed in the manner as

Art Unit: 2614

taught by MacLeod for beneficially allowing removal and replacement of a damaged microphone.

Regarding claim 48, the combination of Reed and MacLeod teaches the mask as defined in claims 42, wherein at least one of the first and second microphone assemblies (58) are designed to be at least partially supported on the pass-through (10, 44).

Regarding claim 50, the combination of Reed and MacLeod teaches the mask as defined in claim 48, wherein both of the first and second microphone assemblies (58) are at least partially supported on the pass-through (10, 44).

Regarding claim 59, the combination of Reed and MacLeod teaches the mask as defined in claim 42, wherein the first microphone arrangement (58) is electrically connected to a device selected from the group consisting of an intercom, a telephone, a radio unit, or a voice projection unit; the second microphone arrangement (58) electrically connected to a device different from the device connected to the first microphone arrangement (col. 1 line 59 through col. 2 line 10).

4. Claims 52-53, and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed in view of MacLeod.

Regarding claims 52-53, it is implied by the reference that the microphones have connectors for providing electrical connection. In addition, the combination of Reed and

Art Unit: 2614

MacLeod teaches a wiring connector structure (col. 3 lines 40-46) for connecting components to the face mask, having a second component supported on a main (first) component (fig. 2, relative to an example speaker configuration).

Since the combination of Reed and MacLeod does not specifically teach or restrict any connecting structure for the microphone components, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the example wiring connector structure (fig 2) disclosed by the reference for use by any other components could be used for attaching the microphone components in the invention of Reed and MacLeod as a means of providing electrical connection between components and the pass-through.

5. Claim **62** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Reed and MacLeod in view of Steelman (U.S. Patent No. 6,101,256).

Regarding claim 62, the combination of Reed and MacLeod does not teach or restrict the microphone arrangements to the type of microphone used.

In a similar field of endeavor (sports communication), Steelman teaches that any suitable type of well-known transducer, which would include electret, dynamic, etc., can be used to convert sound waves and improving operating characteristics (col. 3 lines 16-29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that any type of transducer including electret, etc., can be used in the invention of Reed and MacLeod as taught by Steelman for improving basic characteristics and achieving the desired output and design.

Art Unit: 2614

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims **6-8** are allowed.

7. Claims 55-57 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to incorporation of claim 70 into claim 42 above have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

9. In the event that the Applicant does not traverse the Examiner's assertion of official notice or Applicant's traverse is not adequate; the Examiner must clearly indicate in the next office action that the common knowledge or well-known in the art statement is taken to be admitted prior art.

In this instance, the Applicant did not traverse the Examiner's assertion of official notice. Because the Applicant did not specifically point out the supposed errors in the examiner's action, which would include stating why the noticed fact is not considered to be common knowledge or well-known in the art [See 37 CFR 1.111(b). See also Chevenard, 139 F.2d at 713, 60 USPQ at 241], the official notice statements are maintained from the action dated 3 May 2007 as restated above.

Art Unit: 2614

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phylesha L. Dabney whose telephone number is 571-272-7494. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Wednesdays, Fridays 8:30-4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P O Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 273-8300, for formal communications intended for entry and for informal or draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "Draft" when submitting an informal amendment.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

August 13, 2007 PLD

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600